Evaluation Criteria	Questions To Ask Yourself
Authority of Researchers Authors Creators	Who are the researchers/authors/creators? Who is their intended audience?
	What are their credentials/qualifications? What else has this author written?
	Is this research funded? By whom? Who benefits?
	Who has intellectual ownership of this idea? How do I cite it?
	Where is this source published? What kind of publication is it?
	Authoritative Sources: written by experts for a specialized audience, published in peer-reviewed journals or by respected publishers, and containing well-supported, evidence-based arguments.
	Popular Sources: written for a general (or possibly niche) public audience, often in an informal or journalistic style, published in newspapers, magazines, and websites with a purpose of entertaining or promoting a product; evidence is often "soft" rather than hard.
Content	Methodology
	What is the methodology of their study? Or how has evidence been collected?
	Is the methodology sound? Can you find obvious flaws?
	What is its scope? Does it apply to your project? How?
	How recent and relevant is it? What is the publication date or last update?
	Data
	Is there sufficient data here to support their claims or hypotheses?
	Do they offer quantitative and/or qualitative data?
	Are visual representations of the data misleading or distorted in some way?
Purpose, Intended Use, and Intended Audience	Why has this author presented this information to this audience?
	Why am I using this source?
	Will using this source bolster my credibility or undermine it?
	Am I " cherry picking " – the use of inadequate or unrepresentative data that only supports my position (and ignores substantial amount of data that contradicts it)?
	Could " cognitive bias " be at work here? Have I only consulted the kinds of sources I know will support my idea? Have I failed to consider alternative kinds of sources?
	Am I representing the data I have collected accurately?
	Are the data statistically relevant or significant?